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Introduction

The Faculty of Kinesiology is a cross disciplinary faculty with members studying human movement from many perspectives including structural, functional, mechanical, historical, psychological, educational, and sociological. Modern kinesiology thus embraces the wide spectrum of human movement and its academic study. For this reason, the faculty is guided by principles that recognize the equal importance of a number of scholarly areas. This document sets out criteria that define expectations in these areas, and stresses that recognition will be given to high quality work in educational activities, scholarship and community service.

Policies in this guideline (including the numbered citations) follow the Procedures Pertaining to Appointment, Promotion, and Tenure of Academic Staff (APT) as well as the Manual of Policies and Procedures for the [Annual] Assessment of Academic Staff (GPC) and incorporates this material related to the assessment of academic staff (increments and promotion) and appointment review (tenure). Extracts are identified by placing them in italics and citing their appropriate APT (or GPC) section number in parenthesis. The Faculty Promotion Committee (FPC) makes recommendations on these matters to the Dean.

The following citations apply to the APT manual

3.5 General

For definition of “Outstanding Performance” and “Unsatisfactory Performance” refer to Performance in Teaching, Research and Scholarship which begins on page 8 of this document and ends on page 13.

Faculty of Kinesiology follows the guidelines in Section 7.0 of the GPC manual which states that, “Individuals on paid leaves of absence during the normal assessment period shall be evaluated with respect to the period of leave...for example, if teaching activities are not expected as part of the leave, the individual should not be penalized for not teaching...It is the intent that an individual who is on maternity or sick leave shall not be penalized for interruption in academic productivity during the period of absence...Individuals on unpaid leaves of absence for a portion of the assessment period shall be assessed and eligible for merit increments for the period not on leave without pay.” (Section 7.3 and 7.3.1 and 7.3.5)
Extraordinary Procedures for Expedited Spousal Hiring

4.8.11 A part of the process of establishing the academic selection process referred to in Section 4.3.7, a Faculty Council shall determine as a matter of policy:

a) at which organizational unit (e.g., Faculty, Department, Program) these procedures shall be applied, and

b) how to determine which units shall be deemed to comprise the Destination Unit if the hiring may affect more than one unit.

Kinesiology Faculty Council has determined that the Faculty is designated as the organizational unit. For example, if we are the destination Faculty for a spouse, then all faculty must provide input with a written vote, and if over 50% agree, then we proceed with the spousal hiring. Kinesiology Faculty Council has also designated the Faculty as the “Destination Unit”.

Appointment With Tenure

5.6.11 On or before December 1, all academic appointees who wish to be considered for an appointment With Tenure shall submit a letter of intent and curriculum vitae to the Chair of the Academic Appointment Review Committee, who will use these in selecting referees (see Section 5.6.13)

5.6.13; 5.6.14; 5.6.15 (Review of applicants in the professorial ranks)

Expert opinion from a minimum of three authorities in the field of research from outside the university will be sought to ascertain the quality of research and future promise. The candidate should provide the AARC Chair with the names of a minimum of two referees from outside the university. (This will include the name, mail, telephone, FAX, and e-mail addresses, a brief indication of area of expertise, and a statement disclosing any connection or collaboration with the candidate.) The Vice Dean, in consultation with the Associate Dean (Research), will furnish the names of at least two further potential referees from outside the university, (along with the indicated information). The AARC Chair will solicit at least three confidential letters of reference, including at least one from each of the two lists. The AARC Chair will provide the Vice Dean and Associate Dean (Research) the reference letters by April 1 for use in initial assessment. After consultation with the Vice Dean and the Associate Dean (Research), the AARC Chair may solicit referees reports from other individuals, as needed in order to ensure the use of at least 3 referees’ reports by the Vice Dean, Associate Dean (Research) and the AARC.

5.6.16 (Review of Instructors and Senior Instructors)

The requirement for external referees will be met by obtaining referee’s reports from at least two members of the academic staff of the University from outside the Faculty who are recognized for superior teaching abilities. The candidate should provide the AARC Chair with the names of a minimum of two referees from outside the faculty by February
1. (This will include the name, mail, telephone, FAX, and e-mail addresses, a brief indication of area of expertise, and a statement disclosing any connection or collaboration with the candidate.) The Vice Dean, in consultation with the Associate Dean (Research), will furnish the names of at least two further potential referees from outside the faculty, (along with the indicated information). The AARC Chair will solicit at least three confidential referees’ reports, with at least one from each of the two lists. The AARC Chair will provide the Vice Dean and Associate Dean (Research) the reference letters by April 1 for use in initial assessment. After consultation with the Vice Dean and the Associate Dean (Research), the AARC Chair may solicit referees reports from other individuals, as needed.

5.6.18 Procedures (by which Vice Dean and Associate Dean (Research) seek advice for tenure applications)

The following procedures will apply in the Faculty of Kinesiology:

Before completing a recommendation concerning tenure under Section 5.6.19, the Vice Dean shall solicit advice on each candidate for tenure and invite signed written comments on the application.

1. The Vice Dean and Associate Dean (Research) shall inform each tenured member of the Faculty of the names of those individuals who are being considered for tenure.

2. By the deadline for supplying research materials, C.V., etc., the applicant may supply the Vice Dean with a list of tenured academic staff from outside the applicant’s Faculty who have direct knowledge of the applicant’s academic work. The Vice Dean may select from this list and consult with others as well, but these individuals shall not be the recommended referees supplied with respect to 5.6.13 or 5.6.16. The Vice Dean must provide the applicant with a list of all those whose advice was sought.

3. A copy of the materials submitted for consideration by the Academic Appointment Review Committee (AARC) (C.V., teaching dossier, examples of relevant scholarly productions) shall be kept secure and made available for no less than two weeks only to the individuals identified in bullets 1. and 2. above.

4. Under no circumstances shall the Vice Dean disclose the contents of letters solicited from referees, which are confidential to the Academic Appointment Review Committee (APT 5.6.15).

5. The Vice Dean shall consider only signed submissions from those consulted through parts 1. and 2.

6. The Vice Dean shall maintain the comments received in confidence. A fair summary of the advice received shall be included in the Vice Dean’s assessment prepared under Section 5.6.18 f (APT).
5.6.19

When filling in the relevant section of the application form based on the applicant’s submitted material, the referees’ letters, information from the consultation process and the Vice Dean’s and Associate Dean’s (Research) own evaluation, Vice Dean and the Associate Dean (Research) shall take care to provide a fair summary of commentary while protecting the confidentiality of the referee’s reports (APT 5.6.15) and the advice solicited under APT 5.6.18.

**TIMELINE FOR TENURE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>September</th>
<th>Faculty Council votes/appoints Academic Appointment Review Committee (AARC)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>February 1</td>
<td>Vice Dean informs faculty and asks for input</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 1</td>
<td>Deadline for applicant to supply material</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 1</td>
<td>Deadline for AARC Chair to supply referees’ letters to Vice Dean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 15</td>
<td>Assessment discussed with applicant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 1</td>
<td>Assessment to AARC chair</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**5.7.4.1 Composition of an Academic Appointment Review Committee (AARC):**

a) the Dean (or delegate, who must not be the person who performed the functions of the Head) will act as Chair of Academic Appointment Review Committees.

b) The Faculty Striking Committee (consisting of elected members from the Faculty of Kinesiology and the Vice Dean as chair) shall draw up, as needed, a list of four academic appointees with tenure from the Faculty including at least one from outside the Faculty of Kinesiology. Faculty Council votes on the final composition. These four individuals are voting members of AARC.

c) (and 5.7.4.2 iii) The Dean will appoint one or two academic members, at least one of whom must be in attendance for the Academic Appointment Review Committee to meet. The appointed individual(s) is a voting member(s) of the AARC.

d) for each applicant, the Head will act as a participating but non-voting member of the committee.

e) *a member appointed by the Faculty Association, who shall be present as a participating but non-voting member of the Committee.*

f) The Dean (or delegate) will select one student member for each AARC from the list of undergraduate and graduate student population to serve as a non-voting member. Attendance of the student member is not mandatory for the business of an AARC to proceed.
5.7.4.2 An Academic Appointment Review Committee

Shall not meet unless the following members are present:

- The Chair
- At least two of the academic appointees (5.7.4.1,b), one of whom must be from outside the Faculty
- At least one of the members appointed by the Dean
- The Head
- A TUCFA representative is present
- Both genders are represented

5.7.4.5 Faculty of Kinesiology Council has stated that it does not wish to require participation of external members of a relevant profession on AARCs.

5.7.5 Criteria (for Tenure)

The granting of an appointment With Tenure in the professorial ranks requires a determination that, given the applicant’s quality and pattern of career performance, there is a substantial probability that the applicant will be able to sustain a career as a productive researcher/scholar, effective teacher, and active contributor to the University of Calgary community. (see Section 5.7.5.2)

Performance leading to tenure will be evaluated in these areas:

- education;
- research/scholarship/creative activity; and
- service.

Tenure is not the right of a staff member on completion of the probationary period, but must be earned through effectiveness and competence in the three (3) areas outlined above.

An individual in the professorial stream must have demonstrated continued effectiveness as a teacher and must have produced sustained high-quality research/scholarship. The standards achieved in teaching and research/scholarship should be of a calibre that would indicate that the applicant has the potential for greater achievements and recognition in the discipline. An individual in the instructor stream must have fulfilled the requirements of their job description, establishing a program of scholarship to maintain currency in the discipline while providing evidence of high quality teaching. Expectations for teaching, scholarship, and service depend on the rank at the time of consideration of granting tenure.

Expectations increase with rank. While primary emphasis is placed on the quality of the contributions of teaching and scholarship, it is expected that service commensurate with
the rank and conditions of appointment will be in evidence. In all cases, the individual should have demonstrated that their continued presence will be an asset to the Faculty. (See Section 5.7.5.2 of the APT Manual.)

As the granting of tenure normally commits the University to the individual for a full academic career, the decision must not be made in haste. The full duration of the probationary period normally should be used to assess the performance and the future promise of the individual. Tenure before the expiry of the probationary period should be limited to the exceptional cases. These exceptional cases may result from such outstanding performance by the individual that his/her quality and promise are beyond doubt, or from prior contributions and achievements at this or other institutions, provided that an accurate evaluation of such performance can be obtained.

It is the responsibility of the applicant to supply copies of selected relevant examples of scholarship, a curriculum vitae, list of publications, details of supervision (undergraduate, graduate, and post-doctoral), information on grants, contracts and support of scholarship as well as a “teaching dossier” to provide an assessment of teaching based on direct observation, on the materials supplied by the applicant, as well as the accumulation of evaluations, other documented sources and student evaluations of teaching, (from both the Faculty evaluation and the USRI). The Vice Dean and Associate Dean (Research) should attempt to forward to the AARC Chair complete documentation on the individual’s entire academic career including the publication record, grants/contracts, teaching competence, undergraduate/graduate student/post-doctoral fellow research supervised and administrative service.

Attention is drawn to the particular requirements of 5.7.5.2 and 5.7.5.3 for criteria for the professorial and instructor ranks, respectively.

6.4.3 Composition of the Faculty Promotions Committee, Faculty of Kinesiology

Under the principles in the APT Manual, item 6.4.3(a) reads:

"The composition of the Committee must enable it to retain quorum in all foreseeable cases, e.g., when there is an appeal and all parties to the appeal must absent themselves."

The Faculty Promotions Committee will meet the above requirements by using the following:

DEAN - (Chair, voting only to break a tie)
VICE DEAN – (voting)
ASSOCIATE DEAN (GRADUATE) – (voting)
ASSOCIATE DEAN (RESEARCH) – (voting)
Two tenured members of Faculty (voting) – (selected through voting process at Faculty Council)
One member appointed by Faculty Association (non-voting)
One student member (voting). The student representative will be appointed by the Dean from either: i) a student representative elected from students in the Faculty to the Students’ Union or Graduate Students’ Association; or ii) an elected member on the KSS. (Section 6.4.5)

One alternate faculty member appointed by the Dean (voting only if quorum needs to be maintained during an appeal). The Dean will attempt to appoint, as an alternate, one of the members of the previous FPC.

Both genders shall be included on the Committee.

6.4.10 The process for a Faculty Promotions Committee shall include the following:

a) Individual consideration of each academic appointee's case;
b) Comparative consideration of the assessments of all academic appointees (for function and form of assessments, see also APT 6.2.5 and 6.2.6);
c) Normally increasing expectation of performance as an academic appointee progresses through any rank, and from rank to rank;
d) Progressively more rigorous assessment of merit with seniority within the full professorial rank;
e) Particular attention to a recommendation for the award of zero increment.

The following citations apply to the GPC manual

6.7 Criteria for Evaluation

6.7.1 Only the following criteria shall be employed in consideration of increments and promotions. There are no automatic increments, as the Career Progress Adjustment (CPA) must also be justified on the basis of performance. (See Definitions, Section 1.2).

6.7.2 Three major criteria arise from the functions of the University: Education, Research and Service. There is an expectation that all academic appointees shall engage in these activities, except where the criteria of a rank exempt one or more of these functions.

6.7.3 Colleagueship should be considered to the degree that it can be shown to have affected the teaching, research or service of the individual, colleague or the unit. [APT 3.5.1]

6.7.6 For academic staff members in the professorial stream, the relative contributions in the areas of education, research and service may vary within limits acceptable to a Faculty Promotions Committee and the General Promotions Committee, but special emphasis shall be placed on teaching performance at both the undergraduate and graduate level. Notwithstanding this emphasis on teaching, in no case shall promotion to the rank of Professor be recommended where the individual has not clearly established a national or international reputation on the basis of scholarship, research, or the equivalent creative activity or professional contributions to a discipline or field.
6.7.15 As an individual progresses through a rank the normal expectation of performance rises.

**Performance in Teaching, Research and Scholarship**

6.7.7 *Teaching is a major university function. It is recognized that teaching or instruction takes place in various contexts appropriate to the disciplines in each Faculty including the classroom, lectures, seminar discussion, clinical supervision, laboratory supervision, tutorials, graduate supervision, field supervision, practicum supervision, distance education, collaborative teaching with associated institutions, the advising of students, etc. [APT 3.2.1]*

6.7.7.1 *Teaching performance and effectiveness shall be evaluated on a regular basis. Such evaluation should consider all ways a teacher addresses the responsibility and interacts with students. In addition to interactions in the contexts noted in Section 3.2.1, evaluation of teaching should consider the extent of innovation, preparation, reflection of current knowledge, level of interest, direction, and encouragement demonstrated by the academic appointee. Participation in teaching development programs, and/or seeking expert help in the improvement of teaching, will be viewed as an indication of commitment to teaching. [APT 3.2.2]*

6.7.7.2 *Although the evaluation of teaching may not be based solely on evaluations by students, such evaluations are one factor on which the evaluation of teaching shall be based. Student evaluations shall be required for all academic appointees (Teaching and Research) on a regular basis. [APT 3.2.3]*

6.7.7.3 *Part of such evaluation of teaching may be based upon the general reputation enjoyed by the teacher among informed peers and students. Such reputation shall be evidenced only by signed documentation or formal evaluation processes. [APT 3.2.4]*

6.7.7.4 *The University also recognizes the legitimate role of academics as ‘knowledge brokers’ in transferring state-of-the-art knowledge to persons in government, business, industry, the professions and the wider community through the organization and presentation of seminars, workshops, and short courses for persons outside programs leading to degrees. [APT 3.2.5]*

6.7.7.5 *The development, testing, and application of computer-assisted learning techniques and software shall be deemed to be innovative teaching when the techniques or software have been successfully integrated into the teaching of University course offerings and the usefulness of the activity has been acknowledged by informed peers in a manner similar to the peer review of materials submitted for publication. [APT 3.2.6]*
Within the Faculty of Kinesiology, numerous sub-disciplines exist resulting in the need for different teaching approaches to optimize student learning. Assessment of teaching within the Faculty should take into account the quality and appropriateness of various responsibilities and contributions given our diverse teaching and learning needs. Although varied approaches exist, all must be based on sound teaching and learning principles.

Teaching includes all functions related to the education, guidance, and supervision of both graduate and undergraduate students. This includes teaching courses and leading seminars; providing clinical and continuing education instruction; supervising practica, projects, theses and dissertations; and serving on graduate student committees, both within and outside of the faculty.

In evaluating a faculty member’s record in teaching, both quality and quantity of teaching are relevant but quality is paramount. Quality teaching concerns the effectiveness of the instructor in the transmission of knowledge. Assessment of teaching effectiveness should examine the recency of course content, professional conduct of the course, as well as the appropriateness of the forms of instruction. Information for determination of quality may typically be obtained on the basis of visits to lectures, tutorials, and laboratories by peers, and the Vice Dean or others as assigned; student evaluations; course materials such as examinations, course outlines, and assignments; practicum experiences, and special recognitions such as teaching awards. Faculty are also encouraged to be actively involved in improving their teaching, for example through enrollment in professional development seminars, conferences, or other avenues designed to improve teaching effectiveness.

Quality teaching may be affected by numerous factors, some of which are: teaching new courses (new to the instructor); development of pilot courses (new to the faculty); development of course materials such as computer assisted instructional media; course revision and updating, such as implementation of teaching innovations; teaching different courses including laboratories and tutorials (supervised versus instruction); number of courses taught; number of sections taught; number of hours in preparation, delivery, and evaluation; class size; and the location of the class (classroom versus fieldwork).

6.7.8 Research, scholarship, and other creative activities constitute a major University function. The primary concern of the individual and the University shall be the importance of high-quality work. [APT 3.3.1]

6.7.8.1 These research activities shall be evaluated on a regular basis and shall normally be measured by the quality and pattern of the academic appointee’s work, through media and outlets appropriate to each discipline for communication with peers. [APT 3.3.2]

6.7.8.2 Research normally shall bear evidence of formal review by informed peers from the appropriate disciplinary or interdisciplinary community within and beyond the University prior to or as a result of presentation, publication, distribution, or exhibition. [APT 3.3.3]

6.7.8.5 In those Faculties that prepare students for professional practice, contributions to the discipline of that profession shall be deemed relevant to satisfying the research
requirement provided that they are of high quality and are acknowledged contributions to the field, that they flow primarily from research, and they have been subject to an informed peer review process and enhance the professional reputation of the individual and the University. [APT 3.3.4]

6.7.8.6 The development of software and the creation of databases or the creation or entry of information into databases or contributions to program libraries shall normally be considered equivalent to research publication only if the results have been subjected to informed peer review or appropriate refereeing. [APT 3.3.5]

Within the Faculty of Kinesiology, the notion of scholarship is central to the mission of the university and, as such, all faculty members in the professorial category of rank are expected to show ongoing development in this area of responsibility. Scholarship in the Faculty of Kinesiology, as in other faculties, has to do with the pursuit of truth and the creation of new knowledge. The diverse aspects of Kinesiology will ensure that the expression of scholarship takes many forms.

Scholarship contains the familiar area of research but, within the Kinesiology context, other forms of creative activity are recognized: scholarly writing, computer assisted innovations (software, hardware, video), choreography, and artistic direction in the aesthetic forms of movement. Careful consideration will be given to the quality of contributions as reflected in the nature and rigour of the evaluation process including critical reviews by peers or other qualified people, the level of dissemination (journal, publishing house, conference proceedings), the type of dissemination (book, full paper, abstract, critical reviews), the acceptance of worth as reflected by adoption of books and materials by other institutions, and the degree of involvement in the work in multi-authored publications.

Relevant publications are usually defined as having been reviewed and accepted for publication in refereed publications. Books published through well recognized publishers, papers published in fully refereed journals and conference proceedings choreography, video, software and reports of significant first ascents, descents or expedition leadership that have undergone a documented refereeing process are all recognized as relevant scholarship with the highest weight given. Originality of the work and recognition that the work is a significant step forward in thinking and knowledge generation within a field are important criteria.

Other scholarly contributions such as papers presented at conferences, abstracts published in conference proceedings, discussions of papers published in refereed journals, book reviews, editing a book, and other publications are all considered in assessing the overall scholastic performance, provided they are deemed to be of a good quality and enhance the reputation of the individual and the University.

Another indication of the level of scholarly activity is the number and size of research grants and contracts, consulting activities, and the technical reports arising from such work. These criteria are employed with considerable discretion, however, as some areas within Kinesiology have available to them funds from external granting agencies, community groups, and other sources, while other areas have few avenues where application can be made for external funding.
A distinction is also made between grants and contracts, with the former normally meaning the recipient receives no extra remuneration, whereas in the case of consulting and contracts, the individual may, and usually does, receive financial remuneration. Research grants are typically refereed by competent peers, whereas contracts normally do not have the same rigor of peer evaluation. Because of this, research grants are normally weighted higher than contracts, however, involvement in contracts and consulting are both viewed favorably. Technical reports arising out of contract work and consulting are usually submitted to the organization that initiated and financed the contract. Because these reports are not subjected to normal peer review process and are normally not published in the peer-reviewed literature, they are not given significant weight unless especially well documented evidence of their scholarly value is available.

Also included for consideration are leadership roles in fostering research and scholarship. Because Kinesiology embraces many legitimate areas of scholarship, leadership within the faculty is important in providing a balanced and rich treatment of the role of physical activity in society. Scholarly leadership includes maintaining a laboratory or scholarly group and actively fostering and promoting a high level of work in an area. Again, the quality of work emanating from such a laboratory or group is taken into consideration above all else.

**Performance in Service**

6.7.9 Since the University is a community of scholars, largely responsible for its own governance, it is expected that each academic appointee shall make contributions in the area of service. Service shall be measured by the academic appointee’s record of active participation in academic governance and development in matters relevant to the progress and welfare of the department or unit, the Faculty, and the institution. [APT 3.4.1]

6.7.9.1 Service may also be measured by informed assessment of evidence of substantial contributions to activities such as service on editorial boards of disciplinary or interdisciplinary journals, on grant selection committees and adjudication panels of provincial, regional or national agencies, and similar professional involvement. [APT 3.4.2]

6.7.9.2 Service may also be measured by substantial contributions to the general or professional community, the Province, and the Nation through the application of scholarly or professional knowledge and expertise. [APT 3.4.3]

6.7.9.3 Other service to the community that flows from the discipline or that accrues through other distinguished service to the University or the community may be acknowledged when it brings distinction to the University. [APT 3.4.4]

6.7.9.4 Appropriate levels of service shall be expected of each rank. Nevertheless for individuals whose duties include teaching and research, the normal expectations for teaching and research cannot be fulfilled by service activity in the absence of written
agreements with the Dean. Meeting the expectation of service should normally require a smaller portion of effort than is required for the functions of Teaching and Research. [APT 3.4.5]

6.7.9.5 Outside Professional Activity for remuneration shall not normally be counted as service for the purposes of assessment [APT 3.4.6].

Within the Faculty of Kinesiology, it is expected that members of the faculty, as part of their career development, will participate in the government of the academic community and also contribute to the larger community of appropriate local, national and international organizations that serve the field. The expression of service has many facets; they may include but are not limited to:

Service within the University

1. Membership on committees at the departmental, faculty and university levels.
2. Administration within the faculty.
3. Presentations made on behalf of faculty or university to community or professional groups outside of the university.
4. Clinical practice which includes quality of patient care, resource utilization, the nurturing and role modeling of trainees in medical and paramedical programs. Innovative activities in clinical and support areas.

Service external to the University

1. Fulfilling specific roles within professional and scholarly associations.
2. Service on research councils and committees, grant review boards, governmental committees.
3. Editor, co-editor, associate editor of a scholarly or professional journal, reviewer of journal articles.
4. Organization of seminars, conferences, workshops.
5. Maintenance or enhancement of professional competence to the benefit of the Faculty and students through a high level of involvement in areas of responsibility.

Interpretations:

- The level of function, degree of leadership, amount of time commitment, the nature and level of expertise required and the distinction implied by election or appointment are all valid criteria for the interpretation of “quality” of service.
- There is normally an expectation that colleagues at the higher level of rank will function at higher levels of service.
- Service associated with positions within the University setting which offer honorarium is not normally considered as a contribution over and above the norm which is expected.
- Outside functions which carry a salary, honorarium, or consultation fee, and are not initiated by invitation, would not be normally recognized as contributing to this category of evaluation.
• Service which primarily fulfils personal, recreational, and/or social interests rather than academic or professional interests are not normally recognized in this category.
• Service is considered an important aspect of a faculty member’s profile. Nevertheless, it must be recognized that, in the professorial category of rank, it has slightly less weighting than teaching and scholarship and may not be regarded as a substitute for the latter two elements.

Appointment to Instructor

6.7.13 The performance of staff holding appointments as Instructor, Senior Instructor, or Lecturer shall be evaluated on the basis of the individual’s performance of assigned duties.

Appointment to Assistant Professor

6.7.10 Appointment to the rank of Assistant Professor normally requires evidence of successful teaching ability and/or professional experience, with evidence of appropriate research or professional activity beyond that involved in the completion of academic or professional training [APT 3.6.1].

Appointment or Promotion to Associate Professor

6.7.11 Appointment or promotion to the rank of Associate Professor requires evidence of teaching effectiveness, recognized research attainment or equivalent professional attainment and a satisfactory record of service. [APT 3.7.1]

6.7.11.1 When the teaching function is assessed, evidence of effective performance respecting graduate programs and the supervision of and involvement with graduate students shall be considered, where appropriate. [APT 3.7.2]

The Manual of Policies and Procedures for the [Annual] Assessment of Academic Staff sets out the criteria for promotion from Associate to Full Professor and Assistant to Associate. Especially critical is documentation. This is outlined in GPC 6.10 a) i and should be read carefully by each candidate prior to making application.
Promotion from Assistant to Associate

The FPC of the Faculty of Kinesiology requires the following documentation:

(i) a curriculum vitae

(ii) the Associate Dean’s (Research) assessment of the appropriateness of the academic staff member’s candidacy for promotion, a copy of which must be provided to the staff member. The assessment must deal with the candidate’s contributions in the areas of teaching, scholarship, and service. Under teaching, the candidate’s performance with respect to graduate programs and the supervision of, and involvement with, graduate students shall be included.

While the assessment required of an Associate Dean (Research) related to a staff member’s promotion to Associate Professor is to be the Associate Dean’s (Research) own evaluation of the candidate, the Associate Dean (Research) may seek a statement from the Dean of Graduate Studies regarding the candidate’s performance with respect to graduate programs. This statement shall be included in the dossier for FPC and GPC. The Dean of Graduate Studies shall also send a copy to the candidate.

Appointment or Promotion to Full Professor

6.7.12 The rank of Professor is reserved for those who, in the opinion of their colleagues within the University and beyond, are outstanding in their discipline. [APT 3.8.1]

6.7.12.1 Appointment or promotion to the rank of Professor requires evidence of teaching effectiveness. When the teaching function is assessed, evidence of effective performance respecting graduate programs and the supervision of and involvement with graduate students shall be considered, where appropriate. [APT 3.8.2]

6.7.12.2 Appointment or promotion to this highest rank requires documented evidence of established scholarly and professional reputation supported in writing by three or more eminent referees external to the University. This reputation must be at either the national level, or both the national and international level, as determined by the policy of the relevant Faculty Council. [APT 3.8.3]

Expert opinion from a minimum of three authorities in the field of research from outside the university will be sought to ascertain the quality of research. The candidate should provide the FPC Chair with the names of a minimum of two referees from outside the university. (This will include the name, mail, telephone, Fax and e-mail addresses, a brief indication of area of expertise, and a statement disclosing any connection or collaboration with the candidate.) The Vice Dean, in consultation with the Associate Dean (Research), will furnish the names of at least two further potential referees from outside the university (along with the indicated information). The FPC Chair will solicit at least three confidential letters of reference of which no more than one will be drawn from the candidate’s suggestion. The FPC Chair will provide the Vice Dean and Associate Dean (Research) the reference letters for use in initial
assessment. After consultation with the Vice Dean and Associate Dean (Research), the FPC Chair may solicit referee reports from other individuals, as needed.

Special documentation is required for those being recommended for promotion to full Professor - See Section 6.10.1(a) of the GPC manual.

**Appeals**

Members of Kinesiology’s Faculty Promotions Committee must file personal appeal documentation prior to reviewing the current year’s FPC documentation.

Procedures for appealing either merit or promotion to FPC and GPC are included in Section 6.10.1 b) and Section 9 of the *Manual of Policies and Procedures for the [Annual] Assessment of Academic Staff*. 