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The Wolb-Pack: A Collaborative Interdisciplinary Student Research Team

Gregor Wolbring (gwolbrin@ucalgary.ca) and Jesse Hendrikse (jhendri@ucalgary.ca)

- Bouchra Abdullah, Natalie Ball, Jennifer Cheung, Lucy Diep, Emily Hutcheon, Verlyn Leopatra, Brian Liiske, Rachel Mackay, Angelica Martin, Kalie Mostig, Jacqueline Noga, Somun Panesar, Theresa Rybchiniski, Eniola Salami, Karli Shave, Jeremy Tynedal, and Sophya Yumakulov

WHO WE ARE
- Group of graduate and undergraduate (1st-4th year) students pursuing different degrees, supervised by Gregor Wolbring
- Students and their supervisors (Jesse Hendrikse)
- Students joining independently of their supervisors
- Our academic work reflects diverse personal research interests: e.g. social robotics, sports, sustainability, and neuroenhancements
- Many of our academic projects are grounded in the framework of disability and disability studies
- We employ a variety of methodologies (qualitative, quantitative and mixed methods)
- We communicate our research virtually and non-virtually through posters, papers, and oral presentations

WHAT WE DO
- Share ideas
- Collaborate with and learn from each other
- Help integrate new students into the world of research
- Support future academic and non-academic endeavors

INSPIRe (International Network of Student Perspectives in Research)
- In 2012, we co-founded the 1st INSPIRe Symposium (an online symposium for students to share their research and collaborate with one another)
  - 23 student presenters from: Canada, China, Belgium, UK, and USA
  - The 2nd annual symposium will take place in fall 2013

"We joined TheWolb-Pack because we believe that collaboration is an important skill for our academic career and in developing our interpersonal skills. Having peer support available has provided a sense of group effort and teamwork. We also feel we have a great mentor in Gregor Wolbring."

- Bouchra Abdullah, Jennifer Cheung, Rachel Mackay, and Somun Panesar

"It is an absolute pleasure to be a member of the Wolb-Pack! To learn, to share my own knowledge, and to help other students navigate the world of research. The support we offer and the relationships we cultivate have enriched my university experience beyond measure and I hope to continue to be a part of it!"

- Sophie Yumakulov

ACTIVITIES OUTSIDE THE WORK PLACE
- We are colleagues who have become friends
- Our less formal relationship has allowed us to form a strong team through:
  - Potluck, knitting, peer support, including gift baskets and moral support

GROUP AND INDIVIDUAL OUTPUT
- To find out more about the group and its output please visit:
  http://www.crds.org/research/faculty/Gregor_Wolbring.shtml

HOW WE WORK
- Meet weekly as a group throughout the year (and many of us work together in the same physical space Mo-Fri (May-Sept).
- Individual members meet with their PI (principal investigator) weekly
- Provide mutual support as mentors and mentees
- Share our research and academic expertise with each other
- Provide constructive advice and feedback to each other's work
- Look for opportunities to co-author academic papers for peer reviewed journals, and abstracts for conferences
- Stay in contact with alumni through listserv
- Use our logo in our presentations and posters (designed by team member, Verlyn Leopatra) to highlight the support of our group
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Natalie Ball: Neuro enhancement/Cognitive Enhancement/Eugenics
Lucy Diep: Brain Machine Interface/Social Robotics/Anticipatory governance
Verlyn Leopatra Health Consumerism
Sonum Panesar Bionics
Jeremy Tynedal Sport
Sophya Yumakulov: Social Robotics/Artificial Womb
Ability Studies: Investigates the cultural dynamic of Ability expectation (want) and Ableism (need)
The term ableism evolved from the disability rights movements in the United States and Britain during the 1960s and 1970s.

As used within the disability rights movement and disability studies, it questions the expectation of ‘species-typical body-linked abilities’ while labelling ‘sub species-typical abilities’ as deficient, as impaired and undesirable often with the accompanying disablingism (Miller, Parker, and Gillinson 2004), the lack of accommodation enthusiasm for the needs of people and other biological structures who are seen to not have certain abilities; the unwillingness to adapt to the needs of ‘others’.

However, ableism goes beyond body ability expectations.

Ableism also as such is not negative, it just highlights that one favours certain abilities and sees them as essential.
Ableism is one of the most societal entrenched and accepted isms and one of the biggest enabler for other isms

- Sexism
- Racism/Ethnicism/
- Caste-ism
- Age-ism

Ableism driven Speciesism

Ableism driven Anti-Environmentalism Anthropocentric versus Biocentric; Ecuador first Biocracy

- Gross domestic product (GDP)-ism
- Consumerism
- Productivity-ism
- Competitiveness-ism

Ability Studies allows to investigate eco-ability expectations and eco-ableism that focuses on ecological dynamics of human-human; human-animal and human-environment relationships.

Ability Studies allows for the study of multiple subject formations, social relationships, and lived experiences based on diverse ability expectations and the actions linked to such expectations.

It encourages the study of how legal, ethical/moral, biological, cultural and social constructs are exhibiting ability expectations and how such ability expectations and the actions they trigger leads to an ability based and ability justified understanding of oneself, one’s body and one’s relationship with others of one’s species, other species and one’s environment.

It allows for the investigation of ability expectations exhibited not only by individuals but also by countries and cultures.

It allows for the investigation of which ability expectation can positively reinforce another ability expectation; which are in conflict with each other and which are neutral to each other.

Ability studies can be used in inter-, trans- and intra-disciplinarily ways to generate policies and advance the relationship between humans, animals and their environment.
Ethics codes, theories and principles are intended to help society to deal with emerging issues such as the governance of scientific and technological advancements.

They are linked intricately to abilities needed to make a given ethics code, theory or principles operational.

A given ethics theory, code or principle privileges certain abilities and as such its uptake correlates with the privileging certain ability expectations.

Privileging certain ability expectations were and are one trigger for the development of ethics theories, codes and principles;
• Un outil, une machine ce sont des organes, et des organes sont des outils ou des Machines (Canguilhem, 1952).

• Tools and machines are kinds of organs, and organs are kinds of tools or machines; translation from (Hacking, 1998)).
In addition to cutting potatoes with her prosthetic feet (for a movie), Aimee holds world records in track and has done some runway modeling.

Photos courtesy of Aimee Mullins
www.youtube.com/watch?v=IYWd2C3XVIk
http://www.sarcos.com/
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S&T

The Pack  S&T
The Audio is a featherweight wireless device resting over the vocal cords capable of transmitting neurological information from the brain”. The Audeo from Ambient is also envisioned as a sub-vocal chat device for gaming purposes.
Insects with modified body structures and embedded micro-electromechanical systems (MEMS) have survived to adulthood in a US Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA) programme.
Neurotransmitter sensor; application mental health issue

Galvanic Skin Sensor; application: measures stress levels through electrical conductivity of skin

Automatic drug delivery systems; application: for example insulin pumps and pain medications

Wireless wearable health statistic generating devices, example; heart rate watches, Jawbone Up Bracelet; application: to monitor and track common biostats such as blood pressure, heart rate, sleep cycles, nutrients, biomarkers etc.
Converging Technologies for Improving Human Performance: Nanotechnology, Biotechnology, Information Technology and Cognitive Science (NBIC)
Web 17.0
Integrated life-long learning systems
Chemistry for brain enhancement
Just-in-time knowledge and learning
Use of public communications for pursuit of knowledge
Use of simulations
e-Teaching
Means for keeping adult brains healthier longer
Individualized education
Improved individual nutrition
Global on-line simulations
National programs for improving collective intelligence
Portable artificial intelligence devices
Smarter than human computers
Programs aimed at eliminating prejudice and hate
Continuous evaluation of individual learning
Complete mapping of human synapses
Genetically increased intelligence
Artificial microbes enhance intelligence
The holy grail?
Synthetic Biology is  
A) the design and construction of new biological parts, devices, and systems, and  
B) the re-design of existing, natural biological systems for useful purposes.
- Humans that photosynthesize
- New biological pathways
- Reversal of Aging
- Disease Fighting
- Implantable living battery for medical device. Out of electric eel cells.
- Beneficial bacterial infections programmed to augment immunity, provide needed vitamins, etc.
- Cybernetics
- Self repair bodies
- Programmed Organisms
- Changing behaviour
- Programmable pets
- Biological robots
- Syntho-eukaryotic cell
- Living self-repairing materials (inhabited by colony of engineered cells)
Artificial Womb
Positive eugenics is aimed at increasing the number of desired phenotypic and genotypic traits within the population (Fisher, 1917). Traditionally, positive eugenics was achieved by encouraging those with desirable traits to reproduce with one another. In the future, positive eugenics might be achieved through the synthesis of the desired genome from scratch (synthetic biology) in combination with the artificial womb. Because many genes are impacted by environmental factors, elimination of environmental factors that impact genes negatively also can be seen as fitting with the aim of positive eugenics. Ref see http://www.ijdcr.ca/VOL12_02/articles/ball.shtml
So far, the very meaning of health and therefore treatment and rehabilitation is benchmarked to the normal or species typical body. We expect certain abilities in members of a species; we expect humans to walk but not to fly, but a bird we expect to fly.

**disease/illness** is defined as the **species-typical sub-normative functioning** of biological systems.

Rehabilitation is a treatment or treatments designed to facilitate the process of recovery from injury, illness, or disease to as normal a condition as possible.
• Health in this model is the concept of having obtained maximum (at any given time) enhancement (improvement) of one’s abilities, functioning and body structure beyond species typical boundaries.

• Rehabilitation is a treatment or treatments designed to facilitate the process of recovery from injury, illness, or disease to as optimum a condition as possible.
• It will become an increasingly flourishing field of medicine providing enhancement remedies through surgery, pharmaceuticals, implants, and other means (Wolbring 2005),
• this will generate whole new medical jobs, such as ‘body engineers’, ‘body designers’ and ‘body techno-maintenance crews,’
DALY is a health gap measure developed with the intent to give guidance for allocating medical health treatment dollars (Murray CJL et al. 2002), to "curtail allocative inefficiency" (Murray and Acharya 1997:703-730). Murray states, “...individuals prefer, after appropriate deliberation, to extend the life of healthy individuals rather than those in a health state worse than perfect health” (Murray and Acharya 1997:703-730).
If we apply this notion to a transhumanized model of health that quote would say,

“individuals prefer, after appropriate deliberation, to ENHANCE the life of healthy individuals rather than treat those in a health state worse than perfect health.”

This position could be used to justify favoring ‘enhancement medicine’ over ‘curative medicine’ seeing pure curative medicine to the species typical state as futile and waste of health care dollars.
Enhancement model of Health Insurance business
Right to Health
Burden of disease
Impairment
What drives the move toward an enhancement vision?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Keyword</th>
<th>Google Scholar</th>
<th>Google</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>“Genetic risk” “Down Syndrome”</td>
<td>1,490</td>
<td>46,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Genetic probability” “Down Syndrome”</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>risk “Down Syndrome”</td>
<td>22,600</td>
<td>1,790,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probability “Down Syndrome”</td>
<td>5210</td>
<td>162,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keyword</td>
<td>Google</td>
<td>Keyword</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Sindrome de down&quot; &quot;risko genético&quot;</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>&quot;Sindrome de down&quot; &quot;riesgo genético&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Sindrome de down&quot; “probabilidad genética”</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>&quot;Sindrome de down&quot; &quot;probabilidad genética&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Sindrome de down&quot; &quot;risco&quot;</td>
<td>127000</td>
<td>&quot;Sindrome de down&quot; &quot;riesgo&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Sindrome de down&quot; “probabilidade”</td>
<td>20800</td>
<td>&quot;Sindrome de down&quot; “probabilidad”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Genetisches Risiko&quot; &quot;Down Syndrom&quot;</td>
<td>15000</td>
<td>&quot;Genetische Wahrscheinlichkeit&quot; &quot;Down Syndrom&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Risiko&quot; &quot;Down Syndrom&quot;</td>
<td>132100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Converging Technologies for Improving Human Performance: Nanotechnology, Biotechnology, Information Technology and Cognitive Science (NBIC)
The NBIC report used the term productivity over 60 times and the term efficiency 54 times and the term competitiveness 29 times.

Coenen Schuijf, Smits, Klaassen, Hennen, Rader and Wolbring (2009) Human Enhancement Study for European Parliament; Directorate General for internal policies, Policy Dept. A: Economic and Scientific Policy Science and Technology Options Assessments. This project was carried out by the Institute for Technology Assessment and Systems Analysis (ITAS), Research Centre Karlsruhe, and the Rathenau Institute, as members of the European Technology Assessment Group (ETAG).
For many, enhancements promised by new and more powerful technologies will be seen as a logical extension of what is commonplace today, and it will be increasingly difficult to draw a clear line between their uses for therapeutic purposes and their use for enhancement. (AAAS webpage)
polls indicate that personal interest in or aversion to using Human enhancement technologies depends on one’s perceived social status, and how Human enhancement would affect his/her competitive advantage.

Canton has identified several market pressures leading to rapid development of HE technologies:

1) global competitiveness;
2) brain drain/depopulation economics;
3) national security concerns; and
4) quality of life/consumer life-style demands. (AAAS webpage)

http://www.aaas.org/spp/sfrl/projects/human_enhancement
“Once you have a way in which you can improve our children, no one can stop it. It would be stupid not to use it because someone else will. Those parents who enhances their children then their children are going to be the ones who dominate the world.”

—James Watson, 1962 Physiology and Medicine Prizewinner

“I think it's irresponsible not to try and direct evolution to produce a human being who will be an asset to the world.”

“My view is that, despite the risks, we should give serious consideration to germ-line gene therapy. I only hope that the many biologists who share my opinion will stand tall in the debates to come and not be intimidated by the inevitable criticism ... If such work be called eugenics, then I am a eugenicist.”
and some ethicists talk about the obligation to enhance oneself beyond the species-typical

In Germany April 1933, the [Reich Association of the Blind] RBV published Kraemer’s pamphlet Kritik der Eugenik: Vom Standpunkt des Betroffenen (Critique of Eugenics: From the Standpoint of One Affected by It), which is the only well-founded critique of eugenics written until that time in Germany by a disabled person. Kraemer’s project was to unmask the ideological nature of eugenic conceptions of inferiority. He warned against the coming Nazi Sterilization Law and predicted that euthanasia of “useless” persons was the logical consequence of eugenic thinking. Also, he critiqued eugenicists for exaggerating the cost of caring for the impaired, for viewing impaired people as necessarily suffering, and for overemphasizing the importance of productive labor and military fitness.

Donovan, Egger, Kapernick and Mendoza investigated in 2002 what might generate a climate of achieving Performance Enhancing Drug Compliance in Sport (Donovan, Egger, Kapernick, & Mendoza, 2002).

The likelihood of drug use will be highest when:
- a) threat appraisal is low
- b) benefit appraisal is high
- c) personal morality is neutral (e.g. ‘drug use is a personal decision – there are no victims’);
- d) perceived legitimacy of the laws and enforcement agency is low
- e) relevant reference groups are supportive of drug use
- f) high vulnerability on personality factors (e.g. low self-esteem, risk taker, pessimist)(Donovan et al., 2002).
• Many disabled people see themselves as not having a positive place within society; feel they do not belong, are not accepted, respected and accommodated for who they are, and are not treated as full citizens (Denison Jayasooria, 1999; Jenny Morris, 2005; Rapp & Ginsburg, 2001; Barton, 1993; Meekosha & Dowse, 1997).
• Disabled people will perceive enhancements as highly beneficial and not immoral.
• The relevant support groups very likely will be supportive.
• The disabled person is highly vulnerable to the enhancement sales pitches.
• Laws and regulations will be seen as having no legitimacy to prevent enhancements, as enhancements will be seen as therapeutic social and otherwise..
The UN Convention on the rights of persons with disabilities (United Nations, 2007) demands that disabled people are given access to assistive devices and the convention does not draw a line between assistive devices that lead to species-typical or beyond species-typical abilities (Wolbring, 2009b).

If disabled people are indeed not accepted, respected and accommodated for who they are, if this option is taken off the table and if the disabled person believes that he/she only receives respect and access to society by employing body technologies to modify oneself it seems nearly impossible for disabled persons to stay with their as ‘obsolescent’ as defective labelled body.
Governance of S&T through an Ability Studies lens:
Anticipatory governance aims to understand the potential social, ethical, and political impacts of emerging discourses. It entails:

- foresight (constructing plausible socio-technical implications),
- integration (bringing together diverse fields such as social sciences and natural sciences),
- engagement (bringing together public citizens, developers, engineers, policy-makers, and other actors to construct conversations around awareness, reaction, and knowledge development and sharing),
- ensemblization which brings together the three elements [52-53].
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• Question: What is the impact of a given S&T on Ability inequality and Ability InEquity?

• Ability inequality is a descriptive term denoting any uneven distribution of access to and protection from abilities generated through human interventions, right or wrong (modified from Cozzens).

• Ability inequality is a descriptive term denoting any uneven judgment of abilities intrinsic to biological structures such as the human body, right or wrong modified from Cozzens.

• Ability inequity is a normative term denoting an unjust or unfair distribution of access to and protection from abilities generated through human interventions (modified from Cozzens).

• Ability inequity is a normative term denoting an unjust or unfair judgment of abilities intrinsic to biological structures such as the human body (modified from Cozzens).
Question: What is the impact of any given S&T on Ability Security?

Ability security means that one is able to live a decent life with whatever set of abilities one has, and that one will not be forced to have a prescribed set of abilities to live a secure life (e.g. even if one doesn’t have the ability to walk, he or she should be able to secure employment).

Example: According to the US bureau of labor statistics January 2014 the employment to population ratio for disabled people age 16-64 years is 25.5% for men with disabilities and 22.8% for women with disabilities. These numbers included people who look for work but cannot find work and people who do not look for work. The equivalent numbers for so called non-impaired people are 75.3% for men with disabilities and 65.7% for women.

http://www.bls.gov/news.release/empsit.t06.htm
• **Question:** What is the impact of a given S&T on Self-Identity security?

• Self-identity security could be seen as a subset of personal security and means that one is accepted with one’s set of abilities and that one should not be forced (physically or by circumstance) to accept a perception of oneself one does not agree with (e.g. one is not expected to have the ability to walk or is seen as a “deficient product” if one cannot walk).

• If we for example look at the academic literature of social robotics or brain machine/brain computer interfaces the language is nearly exclusive following a medical understanding of disabled people and the purpose of restoration. The narrative is not indicating the ability expectation of decreasing the social discrimination ability diverse people experience.
**The Pack**  

**Governance**

- **Question:** Which ability expectations drive a given S&T

- A 2006 Association for the Advancement of Science workshop looked into the dynamic of human enhancement and concluded that the following ability desires are the main drivers for human enhancements: 1) to keep one’s local and global competitive advantage; 2) to live securely; and 3) to maintain one’s quality of life and one’s consumer life-style.
The Pack

Question: Which ability expectations drive a given S&T and its governance?
• Question what ability expectation does S&T support?
• Democratizing Nano versus Nano for furthering democracy
• To better connect science and democracy, there must be a way for society to guide the developments of science. Pirtle 2006
• To better allow for democracy to decide what good science should achieve, a serious and proactive
• assessment of the possible implications of scientific work is needed in order to make informed, democratic
• decisions (2). Pirtle 2006
• “The first focus of converging technologies should be on the benefits to individuals and society in general. Good indicators of success are the quality of life, health, safety, and how humanely and democratically the benefits are distributed. Application of democratic principles for equal opportunity, access to information, knowledge and development are other challenges. This report is based on scientific evidence and underlines the need to respect the human condition, democracy, and serving human needs. Optimizing societal interactions, R&D policies and risk governance for the converging new technologies can enhance economic competitiveness and democratization.” (Roco 2008)
• Question:
• Which S&T products have been influential so far in supporting abilities seen as essential for Democracy?
• Which S&T products could be influential in supporting abilities seen as essential for Democracy?
• Which S&T products have been detrimental so far in regards to abilities seen as essential for Democracy?
• Which S&T products could be detrimental in regards to abilities seen as essential for Democracy?
• Does a given S&T product decrease, increases or maintains existing levels of Ability privileges?
• Does a given S&T generate new Ability Privileges? If Yes for whom?
• **Governance/Anticipatory governance** assume many abilities of the stakeholders

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Keywords</th>
<th>Google Scholar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Occupational Therapy</td>
<td>336,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+Technology governance/governance of technology</td>
<td>22/1 none relevant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical therapy</td>
<td>868,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+Technology governance/governance of technology</td>
<td>15/0 none relevant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rehabilitation engineering</td>
<td>34600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+Technology governance/governance of technology</td>
<td>6/2 none relevant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Governance/ Anticipatory governance assume many abilities of the stakeholders

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Keywords</th>
<th>EBSCO (all)(abstract)</th>
<th>Scopus (title, abstract, keyword)</th>
<th>Science direct (title, abstract, keyword)</th>
<th>Web of Science (topic)</th>
<th>Google Scholar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Social work</td>
<td>156,908</td>
<td>33786</td>
<td>871</td>
<td>17422</td>
<td>1,480,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+“social robot”</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>27/5 relevant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+brain machine interface</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12/0 relevant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+brain computer interface</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>55/1 relevant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+human enhancement</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 relevant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+Neuro/cognitive enhancement</td>
<td>0/3</td>
<td>0/7</td>
<td>0/0</td>
<td>0/2</td>
<td>27(0 relevant)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+Technology governance/governance of technology</td>
<td>0/0</td>
<td>0/0</td>
<td>0/0</td>
<td>0/0</td>
<td>91/6 but none relevant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Vision of purpose of social work education
facilitating the transaction between individuals and their environments for purposes of problem solving (Parsons, 1991)
empowerment (Parsons, 1991)
to promote recovery, restore individual, family and community well-being, enhance development of each individual's power and control over his or her life and advance principles of social justice (McGarry, 2014)
addressing need in the light of people’s lived experience (Cox, 2002);
“to advocate for, and/or with people changes in those policies and structural conditions that maintain people in marginalized dispossessed and vulnerable positions” (Rock, 2013); 
to enhance people's well-being (Knauth, 2014);
to increase betterment of living conditions of a client, a family, or a community (Soydan, 2011);
Understand the mechanisms of oppression and discrimination. (Qatar University Social work program, 2014)
Apply strategies of advocacy and social change to advance social justice (Qatar University Social work program, 2014).
Sherwin recently stated, “we [ethicists] lack the appropriate intellectual tools for promoting deep moral change in our society” (Sherwin, S. LOOKING BACKWARDS, LOOKING FORWARD: HOPES FOR BIOETHICS'NEXT TWENTYGÇÉFIVE YEARS. Bioethics 2011, 25 (2), 75-82).
• However question is who is the ‘we’ in Sherwin’s quote? Do all ethicists have the same problem of lack of influence?
• I submit that understanding ability expectation dynamics/ability privileging dynamic is essential for understanding how to make a real difference.
• Ethical reasoning and the use of ethics theories per se does not lead people or institutions to change. Change in ability expectations/change in ability privileging are the levers of social and political change;
• I proposed in 2008 the area of Ableism Ethics.
In this consultation the following roles were envisioned for academics and academic institutions (we use quotes here so people who want to find the original comments can search the consultation document for a quote to, for example, identify the author of a given quote; we find this important given that the open access feature of the journal allows non-academic disabled people to access the article who might be interested in certain comments and want to find these comments without reading the whole consultation).
“Work closely with all other stakeholders in the area and undertake research which can provide an evidence base for addressing relevant policy and practice challenges”;

“should undertake research on relevant topics to increase knowledge and understanding of the CRPD and the human rights-based approach to disability, and to develop tools for development programming and planning”; “monitor CRPD”;

“provide evidence for effective inclusive practices in development/research”; “include disability as a topic in relevant study courses”;  

“develop, organize and monitor specific study courses e.g. for rehabilitation professionals and inclusive education”;

“to research, publish and interrogate reliable data on disability and ensure that it is disseminated to inform policy and programmes and the appropriate levels”;
“to conduct action research to highlight and develop efficient tools and methods to accelerate disability-inclusive policies and practices”.
“to harmonize efforts towards improved data collection methods and systems”;
“teach universal design”;
“capacity building and awareness-raising throughout society”
“encourage awareness and disability studies at schools, universities and other educational institutions”; “support students with disabilities”;
“push for disability inclusive educational institutions”.
As to academic institutions the following was proposed:
“Become disability inclusive institutions so all students can access sources of education”;
• “provide disability awareness in all curricula”;
• “support research on disability”;
• “ensure that all data is disaggregated by disability, ethnicity, age, and gender”;
• “conduct research to sharpen the tools of inclusive development”;
• “create knowledge base on inclusive development both in policy and practice”;
• “contribute to data collection methods and systems”;
• “research to enhance the access of persons with visual disabilities to affordable assistive devices and technology”;
• “in terms of ways forward, opportunities for enriched and fulfilling lives within disability”;

• “inclusive pathways throughout the education system with effective support mechanisms and opportunities to excel”;
• “partnership programmes with the business, council and private sectors to develop inclusive communities”;
• “inclusive communities within the educational establishments themselves at all levels”. “Programmes to develop disabled leaders”;
• “make it real”;
• “research institutions should undertake research on issues of persons with disabilities”;
• “Research on technology and innovations designing products and services accessible for all”;
• “Training and sensitization on the rights of persons with disabilities across sectors”.

The Pack

Governance

Role of Academics
• Questions:
• Will your future client be enhanced?
• Will you serve the enhanced ‘healthy’ or the improved ‘impaired’ first?
• Will you lobby for enhancements beyond the normal for as impaired perceived people?
• Will you lobby against enhancements for the ‘healthy’ due to their impact on the well-being of the so called ‘impaired’?
• Will you shape the governance of the S&T?
Given Galton’s understanding of eugenics, eugenic goals are not bound to the past, nor is it required that they only target those we labeled up to now as having deficiencies. Eugenic thinking can also be applied to enhance humans beyond the normal through, for example, somatic and germline genetic enhancement. The only prerequisite is that these interventions give an advantage to the beyond the normal enhanced over others and that this advantage is durable and benefits the stock in the end.

Ref see [http://www.ijdcr.ca/VOL12_02/articles/ball.shtml](http://www.ijdcr.ca/VOL12_02/articles/ball.shtml)
• **Conversation between Alex D and Paul Denton**

  - **Paul Denton**: If you want to even out the social order, you have to change the nature of power itself. Right? And what creates power? Wealth, physical strength, legislation — maybe — but none of those is the root principle of power.
  - **Alex D**: I’m listening.
  - **Paul Denton**: Ability is the ideal that drives the modern state. It's a synonym for one's worth, one's social reach, one's "election," in the Biblical sense, and it's the ideal that needs to be changed if people are to begin living as equals.
  - **Alex D**: And you think you can equalise humanity with biomodification?
  - **Paul Denton**: The commodification of ability — tuition, of course, but, increasingly, genetic treatments, cybernetic protocols, now biomods — has had the side effect of creating a self-perpetuating aristocracy in all advanced societies. When ability becomes a public resource, what will distinguish people will be what they do with it. Intention. Dedication. Integrity. The qualities we would choose as the bedrock of the social order. *(Deus Ex: Invisible War)*
• Indeed the UN Convention on the rights of persons with disabilities (United Nations, 2007) demands that disabled people are given access to assistive devices and the convention does not draw a line between assistive devices that lead to species-typical or beyond species-typical abilities (Wolbring, 2009b).

• If disabled people are indeed not accepted, respected and accommodated for who they are, if this option is taken off the table and if the disabled person believes that he/she only receives respect and access to society by employing body technologies to modify oneself it seems nearly impossible for disabled persons to stay with their as ‘obsolescent’ as defective labelled body.
The Pack

Conclusion

"Nothing about us without us"

"It's not being 'normal' that's important — but learning to accept our being different — to live* and love as fully as we can.

David Werner
Your turn
Any questions?